Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/963,573	HERZINGER ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Roy M. Punnoose	2877
All Participants: Status of Application:		
(1) Roy M. Punnoose.	(3)	
(2) Attorney James Welcj (Reg. No.31,216).	(4)	
Date of Interview: 8 March 2004	Time: <u>10:49 AM</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: None		
Claims discussed: 8 and 9		
Prior art documents discussed: None		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: The Examiner's amendment was done to correct a lack of antecedent basis in claims 8 and 9, and also to better describe applicant's claimed invention.		
Part III.		
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 		
4		
In the		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) 08 MA/L. 2004 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)		